

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,678	01/19/2006	Syuichi Oka	OKA4	1794	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MCCORMICK, MELENIE LEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/522,678	OKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melenie McCormick	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the trill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-30,33,36 and 37 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-21,31,32,34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from considera	tion.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/06 & 04/05.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the species black soybean and melibiose in the reply filed on 04/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no lack of unity of invention. This is not found persuasive because the numerous leguminous plants and numerous oligosaccharides encompassed by the claims are distinct and therefore do not share the same technical feature. One leguminous plant is distinct from another due to its unique chemical properties, as is one oligosaccharide from another.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 22-30, 33, and 36-37 have been withdrawn from consideration as they are drawn to a non-elected species.

Claims 18-21, 31-32, and 34-35 are presented for examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/522,678

Art Unit: 1655

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18, 20-21, 31-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ying et al. (2001).

Ying et al. teach extraction of black soybean with water (see e.g. page 15). Ying et al. further teach that the boiled water extract is administered to subjects with hypertension and that the treatment reduced hypertension in the subjects (see e.g. pages 15-16). This boiled water extract would inherently contain melibiose, as instantly claimed.

Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-19, 20-21, 31-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ying et al. (2001).

Ying et al. beneficially teach a method of making and using a water extract of black soybean and is relied upon for the reasons set forth above.

Ying et al. do not explicitly teach that following the extraction step with water that the extract is concentrated.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,678

Art Unit: 1655

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to concentrate a black soybean extract after extracting it. The skilled artisan would have been motivated and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so based upon the beneficial teaching of Ying et al. that black soybean extract is useful as a health food (see e.g. page 16). The skilled artisan would know that concentrated plant extracts are routinely added to health food in order to provide the compounds present in the plant in a higher amount than what is naturally

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

occurring in the plant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melenie McCormick whose telephone number is (571) 272-8037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melenie McCormick Examiner Art Unit 1655

DOMARY EXAMINE